

## Meeting Minutes Transmittal

**T Plant Complex, Low Level Burial Grounds,  
Central Waste Complex, Waste Receiving and Processing  
Project Managers Meeting  
825 Jadwin / Room 460  
Richland, Washington**

**August 28, 2014**

---

The undersigned indicate by their signatures that these meeting minutes reflect the actual occurrences of the above dated Project Managers Meeting. Signatures denote concurrence with the content only and are not intended to imply agreement to any commitments.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Manager Representative, Ecology

Date: 10/30/2014

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Project Manager Representative, RL

Date: 30 Oct 14

Central Waste Complex Admin Record	H6-08
LLBG Administrative Record	H6-08
T Plant Complex Admin Record	H6-08
Waste Receiving and Processing Admin Record	H6-08
JV Borghese	H8-43
MS Collins	A6-38
BJ Dixon	T4-09
LC Fearon	H0-57
RH Engelmann	H8-45
SK Johansen	T1-41
PW Martin	H8-45
LC Petersen	T4-06
DG Singleton	H0-57
LC Tuott	H8-43

T PLANT/LLBG/CWC/WRAP  
Project Managers Meeting Minutes  
825 Jadwin/Room 460/700 Area  
Richland, Washington

August 28, 2014

- I. The May 22, 2014, and the June 26, 2014, Project Manager Meeting (PMM) minutes were approved and submitted to the Administrative Record (AR). The July 24, 2014, PMM minutes were approved today and will be submitted to the AR.
- II. Operational Status
  - A. Linda Petersen (CHPRC) provided the operational status. Steve Lowe (Ecology) asked about the emergency lighting issue at one of the storage buildings at CWC. Ms. Petersen responded that that was the area where the emergency lighting was addressed, and it was closed out. Ms. Petersen added that monthly inspections of the emergency lighting in CWC will be conducted. Mr. Lowe asked where the floor repairs are being done in CWC. Ms. Petersen responded that the floor repairs are being done in several buildings. Regarding the RCRA-regulated container inventory, Ms. Petersen noted that the changes in the number of containers at WRAP were associated with expired chemical products and the process for managing and containerizing them and preparing them for shipment. Ms. Petersen stated that a query was put in regarding the number of containers that might have gone to Permafix Northwest (PFNW), but there was no response to the query as of this morning.

Ms. Petersen referred to the schedule for the SWOC roof repairs, stating that when a repair was completed she eliminated the activity from the table, and she had since added the ones that were completed back on the schedule so the progress could be noted. Mr. Lowe stated that the roof repair schedule was a good summary. Mr. Lowe expressed appreciation for the SWOC inventory that was created on the Solid Waste Inventory Tracking System (SWITS), and indicated that it has been very helpful and user-friendly.
- III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments
  - A. There were no previous agreements or commitments to discuss.
- IV. New Agreements and Commitments
  - A. There were no items for discussion under this topic.
- V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities
  - A. Agreed Order – Implementation

Mike Collins (RL) stated that follow-up information was requested by Ecology on prioritization of containers in outdoor storage area A, and the information is in concurrence and should be sent within the next week. Mr. Collins added that a permit modification request for the emergency management plan is in concurrence, and Ecology should be receiving the request within a week. Mr. Collins noted that the permit mod request affects the SWOC, but is not just SWOC-related.

Mr. Collins stated that modification of the plan is part of the AO, and it mainly is to address the spill notification and documentation process. Rick Engelmann (CHPRC) added that the permit mod request will also address the applicability of the emergency plan to the interim status units. Stephanie Johansen (CHPRC) stated that Mission Support Alliance (MSA) has the primary responsibility for the emergency plan mod, and CHPRC is not directly involved. Mr. Engelmann noted that CHPRC reviewed the emergency plan and provided concurrence.

B. Hanford Facility RCRA Permit Rev. 9 Update

Ms. Johansen stated that RL and Ecology have been meeting to develop a schedule for the Rev. 9 permit, and Ecology has indicated what order it expects to issue the Conceptual Agreement Packages (CAPS). Mr. Lowe reported that the CAP for Addendum B is nearing finalization, and EPA's comments regarding Addendum C (process information) are in internal review. Mr. Lowe stated that Ecology's updated dangerous waste permit application guidance was issued about three weeks ago, and a lot of the process information from the guidance is being incorporated into Addendum C. Mr. Lowe noted that the Ecology publication number for the guidance is 95-402, and it was updated by the hazardous waste group.

Mr. Lowe stated that there was an internal workshop regarding all the Part A's, and a decision was made regarding the preamble information (owner/operator, type of facility, etc.), which will be included in the Part A CAP. Mr. Lowe noted that the Hanford facilities originated at different times, and the decision was made to use the 1987 date, when RCRA was implemented on the Hanford site.

C. 8C Updates, Closure Plans, Part B Application

Ms. Johansen stated that the Part B documents are being prepared, as required by the AO. Ms. Johansen noted that the AO references submittal 14 months after receipt of the CAPS, but efforts have been initiated on the Part B documents. Ms. Johansen indicated that RL/CHPRC will be working on the Part B documents in concert with Ecology issuing the CAPS. Mr. Lowe inquired about the status of the closure plans. Ms. Johansen responded that CHPRC has been directed by the lawyers that the SWOC closure plans cannot be discussed at this time until there is legal resolution. Mr. Lowe noted that there are ongoing conversations between EPA and RL, and that certain issues have been identified. Mr. Collins stated that RL and CHPRC have not embarked on any efforts with the SWOC closure plans, and that the staff present at today's meeting have not had access to the conversations between RL and EPA.

D. Conceptual Agreement Packages

Mr. Engelmann noted that the CAPs were discussed under the Rev. 9 update.

E. Sludge Storage at T Plant

Mr. Collins stated that currently there is no planning towards construction activities at T Plant for receipt of sludge, although there is some design work being done. Mr. Collins added that the DOE process is under way for doing the authorization basis work associated with radiological and nuclear safety. Mr. Collins noted that funding will pose an issue with preparing for the sludge storage, and there is no clear date for starting construction or installing the racks at T Plant. Mr. Lowe asked about the number of cells that are slated for the K Basin sludge. Mr. Collins responded that there are six or eight cells that have been identified. Mr. Lowe asked if the sludge will be considered dry storage. Mr. Collins responded that the sludge will be handled like the NLOP sludge was, and it will be wet inside the canisters when they arrive at T Plant, but there won't be standing water in the canisters. Mr. Collins noted that the sludge needs to be wet to avoid solidification that would pose a problem with mobilization. Mr. Collins stated that there will be secondary containment within the cells, and the sludge will be placed on the storage racks in what would be a RCRA-compliant storage area. Mr. Lowe stated that the sludge storage containers will be closed. Mr. Collins responded that the sludge will be fully encased in the storage containers, but the containers will be vented to avoid a potential hydrogen buildup.

Mr. Lowe asked if the storage racks will entirely fill the cell. Mr. Collins stated that the storage racks for the NLOP sludge did not, but they don't have to if the requirement is met for

secondary containment. Ms. Johansen stated that the requirement for secondary containment is ten percent of the total volume or the volume of the largest container. Mr. Collins added that the storage racks will meet the requirement for secondary containment, and it doesn't necessarily mean the racks would fill the entire cell. Mr. Lowe stated that the intent would be for the cells to have their own leak detection. Mr. Collins concurred. Mr. Lowe asked if the outside of the sludge containers would be dry. Mr. Collins stated that they would be dry, and they would be in the cells under cover blocks. Ms. Johansen noted that the sludge will not be considered RCRA waste. Mr. Lowe stated that the sludge will be stored in a RCRA treatment, storage, disposal (TSD) facility. Ms. Petersen responded that the Part A is being revised so that the storage cells won't be in part of the TSD. Mr. Engelmann added that the cells will be delineated as CERCLA storage. Mr. Collins stated that there are some issues to work through, but when the storage racks are in place in the cells, they would meet RCRA requirements as well.

Mr. Lowe asked if RL anticipates any restrictions, such as the use of the canyon deck above the cells. Mr. Collins responded that if the cover blocks are up, no one will be in the canyon because it would be a radiological hazard. Mr. Collins added that with the cover blocks on and nothing above deck, activities such as TRU waste repackaging could be done. Mr. Lowe noted that the cover blocks don't seal, so work would not be done directly over them. Mr. Collins stated that the cover blocks don't seal in order to allow ventilation flow to the cells. Ms. Petersen added that there is also shielding in place. Mr. Lowe indicated that the area above the cells would be removed from the available operating area in the canyon deck. Mr. Collins responded that this is the approach that likely will be taken, although it doesn't have to be. For example, if a piece of equipment is placed on one of the cover blocks, and something had to be done with the sludge, the cover block would need to be removed.

Elis Eberlein (Ecology) inquired about the regulatory status of the sludge. Mr. Collins responded that it is TSCA waste that is PCB-contaminated, and it is not RCRA waste. It was noted that EPA has the regulatory authority over TSCA waste. Mr. Collins noted that the decision was made through CERCLA documentation to send the sludge for storage at T Plant. Mr. Lowe indicated that the sludge will be untreated when it arrives at T Plant. Mr. Collins responded that the waste will be untreated, and the decision has not been made regarding the treatment process and where it will occur. Mr. Lowe stated that the plan is to eventually send the sludge to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP). Mr. Collins responded that that is the plan, unless the waste assays out as low-level waste (LLW) during the certification process. Mr. Collins stated that if it assayed out as LLW, it wouldn't meet Land Disposal Restrictions (LDR) criteria because it's not RCRA waste.

Mr. Lowe asked if there was a tentative schedule for receipt of the sludge. Mr. Collins responded that he did not have a schedule. Deb Alexander (Ecology) stated that her team is having discussions with EPA regarding their decision since it impacts SWOC at T Plant. Mr. Lowe noted that the canyon crane needs to be ready for use, and there will be some preplanning needed. Mr. Collins stated that there will be a significant readiness process before receipt of the sludge, including staffing, updating the safety basis and procedures, reviewing conduct of operations, plus the additional complication of T Plant being a contaminated facility. Mr. Collins suggested that Mr. Lowe contact Dennis Faulk (EPA), who is leading the effort with the sludge, to get more direct information on the current status. Mr. Collins noted that the sludge will probably be rolled into the M-091 discussions because it's TRU waste that's being moved. Mr. Collins added that even though it's not subject to RCRA, there is the RCRA/CERCLA integration issue.

- VI. Approved Changes signed off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2
  - A. There were no approved changes to sign.



VII. General Discussion

- A. Mr. Collins stated that RL will be sending Ecology a letter requesting an additional extension regarding the use of the 221-T Railroad Tunnel. Ms. Johansen explained that when the closure plans were submitted last year, the Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO) specified which units are listed in the closure plans, and the 221-T Railroad Tunnel was one of the units. Mr. Collins stated that the first extension provided the option for using the tunnel in the future. Mr. Collins stated that the letter will also request an extension for the WRAP NDE/NDA area and the WRAP process area (gloveboxes), even though those areas were not subject to the CAFO. Mr. Collins noted that RL recognizes that there hasn't been waste in those areas for some time. Ms. Johansen stated that the extension letter request updates the information from last year's request. Mr. Lowe indicated that all five units at WRAP were included in the letter. Ms. Petersen stated that the five units were included in the original letter, but some of the units have been used since that time. Mr. Collins stated that 2404-WB, 2404-WC and the shipping/receiving area have been used, and that information is in the letter.

Mr. Lowe stated that main reason that Ecology granted RL a one-year extension was that there weren't a lot of details about when the units would be used and for what purpose. Mr. Lowe suggested including more of that information in the extension request letter. Mr. Lowe asked if the K Basin sludge will be coming through the railroad tunnel to T Plant. Mr. Collins stated that it will come through the railroad tunnel. Mr. Lowe asked if there is a plan to use the railroad tunnel in the next four or five years. Mr. Collins responded that RL knows the railroad tunnel will be used, but could not give a date for when it will be used. Mr. Lowe asked if the use of the railroad tunnel is in RL's planning basis. Mr. Collins indicated that would be a discussion within RL and the sludge project.

VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
CWC	RL will schedule a meeting with Ecology by the end of September 2014 to discuss disposition of tank D-10.	New Action	6/26/14
		Mr. Collins (RL) will schedule the meeting regarding tank D-10. Ms. Singleton (Ecology) stated that EPA needs to be involved in the discussion.	7/24/14
		Mr. Collins will schedule the meeting in September 2014. RL and Ecology agreed that the first meeting will include the project teams, and as the group reaches resolution, a meeting will be scheduled with a broader group, including EPA.	8/28/14

IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record.

- A. The July 24, 2014, PMM minutes and the Ecology letter accepting RL's submittal of AO Section 4.6.2 on training were identified for submittal to the AR. Mr. Engelmann suggested an action to confirm Ecology's acceptance letter was submitted to the AR.

X. Next Project Managers Meeting

- A. The next PMM was scheduled for September 25, 2014.





STATE OF WASHINGTON  
DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

3100 Port of Benton Blvd • Richland, WA 99354 • (509) 372-7950  
711 for Washington Relay Service • Persons with a speech disability can call 877-833-6341

April 3, 2014

14-NWP-057

Mr. Matthew S. McCormick, Manager  
Richland Operations Office  
United States Department of Energy  
PO Box 550, MSIN: A7-50  
Richland, Washington 99352

Mr. John C. Fulton  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company  
PO Box 1600, MSIN: H7-30  
Richland, Washington 99352

Re: Agreed Order and Stipulated Penalty Number DE 10156, Documentation of Implementation of Actions Required by Section 4.6.2, Transmitted by U.S. Department of Energy Letter 14-AMRP-0126, dated March 17, 2014

Dear Mr. McCormick and Mr. Fulton:

The Department of Ecology (Ecology) received your submittal for the deliverables required in Section 4.6.2 of the Agreed Order and Stipulated Penalty Number DE 10156.

After reviewing the submittal, Ecology requires additional information to determine if the documentation provided meets the deliverable requirements of Section 4.6.2 of the Agreed Order. The enclosure lists the required information and the deadline for submitting it.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please contact Nancy Ware, Agreed Order Coordinator at [Nancy.Ware@ecy.wa.gov](mailto:Nancy.Ware@ecy.wa.gov) or (509) 372-7912.

Sincerely,

Jane A. Hedges  
Program Manager  
Nuclear Waste Program

pg/tkb  
Enclosure

cc: See page 2







Mr. McCormick and Mr. Fulton  
April 3, 2014  
Page 2

14-NWP-057

cc electronic w/enc:

Dave Bartus, EPA  
Jack Boller, EPA  
Dennis Faulk, EPA  
Cliff Clark, USDOE  
Michael Collins, USDOE  
Ty Blackford, CHPRC  
Allan E. Cawrse, CHPRC  
Lorna Dittmer, CHPRC  
Brian Dixon, CHPRC  
Moses Jaraysi, CHPRC  
Amanda Ramirez, CHPRC  
Jennie Seaver, CHPRC  
Reed Kaldor, MSA  
Jon Perry, MSA  
Rob Piippo, MSA  
Ken Niles, ODOE  
Nancy Ware, Ecology  
USDOE-RL Correspondence Control

cc w/enc:

Steve Hudson, HAB  
Administrative Record: Agreed Order  
Environmental Portal  
Hanford Operating Record General File  
CHPRC Correspondence Control

cc w/o enc:

Stuart Harris, CTUIR  
Gabriel Bohnee, NPT  
Russell Jim, YN  
David Rowland, YN  
Rex Buck, Wanapum



**Notice of Need for Additional Information**  
**Agreed Order and Stipulated Penalty Number DE 10156**  
**Section 4.6.2 Submittal**

Additional information is needed regarding the Section 4.6.2 Submittal, training material provided to the personnel required to conduct designation sampling and the training rosters with attendees' job positions and names under the requirements of Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303-070 and 170.

On March 17, 2014, the United States Department of Energy (USDOE) made submissions under Article 4.6.2 of Agreed Order and Stipulated Penalty Number DE 10156.

For the Department of Ecology (Ecology) to determine that USDOE's submittals are satisfactory, we request additional information as noted below **within 30 days** of receipt of this notice.

If you require an extension to the deadline, submit a written request for extension to:

Jane A. Hedges  
Nuclear Waste Program  
3100 Port of Benton Blvd.  
Richland, WA 99354

If you need assistance or clarification regarding the additional information requested, contact Ecology Nuclear Waste Program compliance supervisor John Price at (509) 372-7921 or Ecology Nuclear Waste Program's Philip Gent at (509) 372-7983.

In Ecology's review of USDOE's submitted training records and materials, we have a number of questions concerning referenced documents cited as used in the training.

**1. On-The-Job Evaluation (OJE) Tasks and Requirements Documentation**

After reviewing the submitted records, Ecology cannot determine completely as to whether samples obtained for the purpose of waste designation, determination of Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulatory status, and determining the applicability of landfill disposal restrictions requirements is met as listed in Exhibit A, Sections 1.7.1, 1.7.2, and 1.7.3 of the Agreed Order.

**Action Required:**

1. **Submit to Ecology the number and location of the referenced *RCRA Sampling Technical Guide* (Guide).** The submittal of the actual guide is not required, only the document or other identifying number of the guide and the location where the document can be accessed (e.g., web address).

An example of the *Guide* being used as the standard in OJE tasks and requirements can be found on page 47 of 341, task No. 17, of USDOE's submittal. It appears that this *Guide* is the basis for training on taking representative samples, and without access to the *Guide*, it cannot be verified if this training meets the requirements found in WAC 173-303-110(2).





**2. Submit to Ecology a revision or explanation of the references listed of the OJE Tasks and Requirements.**

- a. The *RCRA Sampling Technical Guide* is listed as a reference in the steps of the OJE, but is not listed as a reference in the reference section. (For example, on page 44 of 341 of the USDOE submittal.) **Why is the *Guide* not referenced?** All materials used as references for training purposes should be properly cited in the reference section of the training document.
- b. Only three (GRP-FS-04-G-022, -023, -24) of the twelve procedures listed in the reference section are specifically evaluated during the OJE provided. **Where are the other referenced procedures evaluated?**

**3. Submit to Ecology a revision or explanation of how:**

- High-Concentration Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) methods will be conducted under GRP-FS-04-G-030.
  - VOC Soil & Sediment Samples will implement Method 5035A.
  - Closed-System Purge-and-Trap and Extraction for Volatile Organics in Soil and Waste Samples, EPA SW-846, and 8260 is being implemented.
  - *Implementation Memorandum #5 Collecting and Preparing Soil Samples for VOC Analysis*, (ECY Pub. No. 04-09-087) from Tim Nord, dated June 17, 2004 is being followed.
- a. During spill response sampling, it should be assumed a greater potential exists for high-concentration of VOC being present. The provided training and procedures do not address high-concentration VOC collection.
  - b. The procedure explicitly calls out the collection and labeling of containers as "VOA LOW" (steps 4.2.9 and 4.2.12 of GRP-04-G-030), but no directions are given for "VOA HIGH" samples.



## Waste Disposition Performance

Facility	Status
CWC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Performing waste storage activities</li> <li>• Continued to monitor Box 231ZDR-11 in outdoor storage area A</li> <li>• Updating procedures to reflect AO requirements</li> <li>• Mining, segregating, and overpacking watch list drums</li> <li>• Emergency lighting recovery plan has been closed out; monthly inspections with repairs as needed will continue</li> <li>• Initiated floor repairs. Work is ongoing, pending resources</li> <li>• Continue to receive waste from onsite and offsite generators</li> </ul>
LLBG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continuing receipt of waste shipments at Trench 31/34.</li> <li>• Continuing leachate collection &amp; removal system operation at Trenches 31 &amp; 34.</li> <li>• Continuing housekeeping activities* for Trench 94 and for burial grounds 4B, 4C, 3A, and 12B.</li> <li>• Anticipate reactor compartment shipments to Trench 94 September.13<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup></li> </ul>
WRAP	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities.</li> <li>• Continuing floor maintenance activities at 2404-WB when resources &amp; weather permit. No mixed waste containers with free liquids will be stored in this building without replacement secondary containment until the floor is repaired.</li> </ul>
T Plant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continuing surveillance and maintenance activities.</li> </ul>
<p>* Housekeeping in LLBGs includes tumbleweed monitoring &amp; removal, control of vegetation growth, contamination control and (in trench 94) activities necessary to meet customer (i.e., Navy) expectations.</p>	

# RCRA-Regulated Container Inventory

## - # of Containers and Volume (m<sup>3</sup>)

as of 8-28-2014

Facility	Drum/Small Container <sup>1</sup>	Medium Container/Box <sup>2</sup>	Large Container <sup>3</sup>	Total Volume
CWC (July)	5488 (1325 m <sup>3</sup> )	449 (768 m <sup>3</sup> )	385 (6738 m <sup>3</sup> )	8,831 m <sup>3</sup>
CWC (Aug)	5490 (1326 m <sup>3</sup> )	454 (777 m <sup>3</sup> )	385 (6738 m <sup>3</sup> )	8,841 m <sup>3</sup>
T-Plant (July)	6 (1 m <sup>3</sup> )	3 (4 m <sup>3</sup> )	2 (44 m <sup>3</sup> )	49 m <sup>3</sup>
T-Plant (Aug)	5 (1 m <sup>3</sup> )	3 (4 m <sup>3</sup> )	2 (44 m <sup>3</sup> )	49 m <sup>3</sup>
WRAP (July)	8 (1 m <sup>3</sup> )	1 (1 m <sup>3</sup> )	0 (0 m <sup>3</sup> )	2 m <sup>3</sup>
WRAP (Aug)	11 (1 m <sup>3</sup> )	0 (0 m <sup>3</sup> )	0 (0 m <sup>3</sup> )	1 m <sup>3</sup>

Footnotes regarding volumes:

1. 0.485 m<sup>3</sup> (110 gallons; 17.1 ft<sup>3</sup>) or less
2. Greater than 0.485 m<sup>3</sup> (110 gallons; 17.1 ft<sup>3</sup>) & less than 1.812 m<sup>3</sup> (64ft<sup>3</sup>) (Standard Waste Box)
3. Greater than 1.812 m<sup>3</sup>



## Waste Package Inventory in CWC Outside Storage areas

CWC DWMU <sup>[1]</sup>	Number of Waste Packages in storage (1/24/2014)	Number of Waste Packages in storage (7/22/2014)	Number of Waste Packages in storage (8/28/2014)	Notes/Comments in Inventory Change from Previous Month
Outside Storage Area A	173	166	166	
Outside Storage Area B	11	11	11	
Tank D-10 Outside Storage Area	1	1	1	
East Outside Storage Area	0	0	0	
Shipping and Receiving Area	0	0	0	
<b>Total =</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>178</b>	

[1] DWMUs are based on those listed in the Agreed Order, exhibit B.

## Schedule for SWOC Roof Repairs

Schedule for CWC Roof Leak Repairs (schedule as of 8-14-2014)

Activity Name	2014 Start Date	2014 Finish Date
Develop & Approve SOW		Completed
Develop & Approve Contract Requisition		Completed
Develop Request for Proposal (RFP)		Completed
RFP Issues for Contractor Bid		Completed
Plant Forces Work Review		Completed
BTR Technical Evaluation of Bids		Completed
Procurement Awards Contract		Completed
Schedule Contractor Work Performance (2-week notice)		planned for first 2 weeks of September
Contractor Mobilization On-site		planned for 3 <sup>rd</sup> weeks of September
Perform Roof Repairs		planned for 4 <sup>th</sup> week of September

Schedule for WRAP Roof Leak Repairs (schedule as of 8-14-2014)

Activity Name	2014 Start Date	2015 Finish Date
Repair WRAP 2404 WB & 2404WC Roof Leaks		planned for first week of October

Schedule for T Plant Roof Leak Repairs (schedule as of 8-14-2014)

Activity Name	2014 Start Date	2014 Finish Date
Repair 214T Roof Leak		Completed



# T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC

Project Managers Meeting

825 Jadwin / Room 540S

Hanford, Washington

August 28, 2014

## ATTENDEE LIST

Name	Organization	Phone Number
1. LINDA Petersen	CHPRC	373-4200
2. Jane V. Borghe	CHPRC	373-3804
3. Stephanie Johnson	CHPRC	373-1031
4. Brian Dixon (via phone)	CHPRC	376-7053
5. Michael Collins	DOE - RL	254 6536
6. Steven Lowe	Ecology	372-7894
7. Ellis Eberlein	Ecology	372-7906
8. TED A HOPKINS	CHPRC	376-7407
9. Rick Engelmann	CHPRC	376-7485
10. Deb Alexander	Ecology	372-7896
11. P3 Badbala	CHPRC	373-9792
12. BJ Dixon	CHPRC	via phone
13. AL Farabee	DOE	376-8089
14.		
15.		
16.		
17.		
18.		
19.		
20.		
21.		
22.		
23.		
24.		
25.		





**T PLANT, LLBG, WRAP, AND CWC PROJECT MEETING**  
**825 Jadwin / Room 540S**  
**Hanford, Washington**  
**August 28, 2014**

**10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m.**

**Agenda**

- I. The approved May 22, 2014, and June 26, 2014 Project Manager Meeting (PMM) minutes were submitted to Administrative Record. The July 24, 2014, PMM minutes are pending approval by RL and Ecology representatives.
- II. Operational Status
- III. Status of Previous Agreements and Commitments
- IV. New Agreements and Commitments
- V. Near Term Schedules and Ongoing Activities
  - A. Agreed Order - Implementation
  - B. HF RCRA Permit Rev. 9 Update
  - C. 8C updates, closure plans, Part B application
  - D. Conceptual Agreement Packages
  - E. Sludge Storage at T Plant
- VI. Approved Changes Signed Off in Accordance with TPA Section 12.2
- VII. General Discussion
- VIII. Actions

Unit	Description of Action	Status	Date
CWC	RL will schedule a meeting with Ecology by the end of September 2014 to discuss disposition of tank D-10.	New Action	6/26/14
- IX. Documents for Submittal to the Administrative Record
- X. Next Project Managers Meeting

